SOOT MEASUREMENT AND MODELLING D. Lentini, a.a. 2015/16

• OUTLINE:

- SOOT EFFECTS
- LIMITS OF CURRENT MODELING TOOLS
- EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

2. SOOT EFFECTS

- PERFORMANCE:
 - AMOUNT TO UNBURNT FUEL
 - IN ROCKET ENGINES, MAY GIVE A $\Delta I_{sp} \simeq 20$ s (Fortunier)
- OPERATIONAL (IN GTs):
 - MAY CLOG LINER HOLES
 - MAY DAMAGE TURBINE BLADES
 - SOOT DEPOSITED ON TURBINE BLADES DECREASES η_t BY UP TO 1%
- ENVIRONMENT:
 - HEALTH
 - CONTRIBUTES TO GLOBAL WARMING:
 * DIRECTLY (AS BLACK CARBON)
 * INDIRECTLY (PROMOTING CONTRAILS)

3. MODELLING TOOLS

- SOOTING DESCRIBED IN TERMS OF A FEW STEPS:
 - n. NUCLEATION,
 - g. SURFACE GROWTH,
 - a. AGGLOMERATION,
- ox. OXIDATION
- others. (FRAGMENTATION,...)
 - AND A FEW VARIABLES, e.g.,
 - * Y_s SOOT MASS FRACTION * n_s SOOT NUMBER DENSITY

4. PERFORMANCE OF CURRENT MODELS

• METHANE/AIR FLAME at 1 (left) to 3 (right) bars, $T_{max} \simeq 1700$ K (Woolley *et al.*, 2009):



• ORDER OF MAGNITUDE MORE OR LESS CAPTURED

4.2 PERFORMANCE OF CURRENT MODELS (2/2)

• METHANE/OXYGEN FLAME at 10 bar, $T_{max} \simeq 3000$ K (Lentini and Mazzoni, 2011):



• UNPHYSICAL RESULTS

5. LIKELY CAUSES FOR FAILURE

• GENERAL:

- JUST 2 MODEL EQS. TO DESCRIBE:
 - * > 500 REACTION STEPS;
 - * AGGLOMERATION BY van der WAALS and ELECTROSTATIC FORCES;
 - * FRACTION OF ACTIVE SITES ON PAR-TICLE SURFACE;

* ...

• SPECIFIC TO HIGH–T, HIGH–p APPLICATIONS:

- VERY INTENSE SOOT FORMATION, BUT...
- NO ACCOUNT FOR GAS-PHASE CARBON DEPLETION BY SOOTING;
- MODEL UNDER DEVELOPMENT, EXPERIMEN-TAL DATA AT HIGH-*T*, HIGH-*p* NEEDED FOR VALIDATION
- \rightarrow MEASUREMENTS IN CLOSED CHAMBER

6 QUANTITIES TO BE MEASURED



7

7 TECHNIQUES

• INTRUSIVE/NON-INTRUSIVE (or Invasive/Non-invasive):



8 LASER EXTINCTION LASER INDUCED INCANDESCENSE

• LE: ATTENUATION I/I_0 OF LASER LIGHT INTENSITY DUE TO OPAQUE PARTICLES



• LII: PARTICLES BROUGHT TO HIGH T BY LASER PULSE, EMITTED RADIATION MEASUREI

9 LIMITS OF LASER EXTINCTION TECHNIQUE



DENSE AEROSOL -> SHADOWING -> NO WAY

- FURTHER, CANNOT DETECT TRANSLU-CENT PARTICLES
- NOT APPLICABLE IN CLOSED CHAMBERS

10 THERMOPHORESIS

• PARTICLES DRIFTED IN DIRECTION OF LOWER TEMPERATURE



- IF A (COLD) WIRE OR PLATE IS PUT INTO THE FLAME, SOOT WILL DEPOSIT ON IT
- EFFECTIVE ONLY ON VERY SMALL PARTICLES, $<1\,\mu m$
- (THE SAME HOLDS FOR GAS MOLECULES):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho Y_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\rho u_k Y_i) = \begin{bmatrix} DIFFUSION \\ \propto \partial Y_i / \partial x_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{SORET} \\ \propto \partial T / \partial x_k \end{bmatrix} + w_i$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho h) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\rho u_k h) = \begin{bmatrix} DIFFUSION \\ \propto \partial T / \partial x_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{DUFOUR} \\ \propto \partial Y_i / \partial x_k \end{bmatrix} + RADTN$$

THERMOCOUPLE PARTICLE DENSITOMETRY

- INDICES: T THERMOPH., g GAS, p PARTICLE, s SOOT, w WALL/WIRE, " PER UNIT AREA
- THERMOPHORETIC VELOCITY $v_T = \mathcal{D}_T \, rac{
 abla T_g}{T_g}$
- IF DIAMETER $D_p \ll$ MEAN FREE PATH λ $\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_T \simeq 0,54 \ \nu_g$ (otherwise, more complex expression)

$$\bullet \ \lambda = 2 \ \nu_g / \sqrt{8 R T / \pi} = \frac{({\rm FOR \ AIR}) \ 1000 \ {\rm K} \ 2000 \ {\rm K}}{1 \ {\rm atm} \ 275 \ {\rm nm} \ 580 \ {\rm nm}} \\ 10 \ {\rm atm} \ 27.5 \ {\rm nm} \ 58 \ {\rm nm} \$$

• MASS FLUX DUE TO THERMOPHORESIS (k_g CONDUCTIVITY, \dot{q}'' HEAT FLUX):

$$\dot{m}'' =
ho_s f_v \, v_T =
ho_s f_v \, \left[- rac{\mathcal{D}_T}{T_g} rac{\partial T_g}{\partial n}
ight]_w =
ho_s f_v rac{\mathcal{D}_T}{k_g \, T_g} \, \dot{q}''$$

$$\dot{q}^{\prime\prime}=\mathsf{h}_{c}(T_{g}-T_{w})=rac{Nu\,k_{g}}{D_{w}}\left(T_{g}-T_{w}
ight)$$

• BUT $k_g = k_g(T) \simeq k_{g0} T \rightarrow \text{MORE CORRECTLY} \rightarrow$

$$\dot{q}'' \simeq \frac{Nu \, k_{g0}}{D_w} \int_{T_w}^{T_g} T \, dT = \frac{Nu \, k_{g0}}{2 \, D_w} \left(T_g^2 - T_w^2\right) = \frac{Nu \, k_g \, T_g}{2 \, D_w} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T_w}{T_g}\right)^2\right]$$
$$\dot{m}'' = \rho_s \, f_v \, \mathcal{D}_T \, \frac{Nu}{2 \, D_w} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T_w}{T_g}\right)^2\right] \tag{1}$$

- INDICES: *d* DEPOSIT, *j* JUNCTION (THERMOC.)
- $m \bullet
 ho_d \simeq 170 \;
 m kg/m^3 \ll
 ho_s \; \; (1800 \;
 m kg/m^3)$

$$\dot{m}^{\prime\prime}\!=\!rac{
ho_d}{2}rac{dD_j}{dt}$$

• HPs:

NO RADIATIVE HEAT TRANSFER <u>TO</u> JUNCTN
 NO CHEMICAL HEAT RELEASE AT SURFACE
 NO HEAT CONDUCTION ALONG WIRE
 NEGLIGIBLE JUNCTION THERMAL INERTIA



Figure 1: Thermocouple junctions: (left) standard, (right) butt-welded.

• RADIATION = CONVECTION

$$\epsilon_j \sigma T_j^4 = \frac{Nu \, k_{g0}}{2 \, D_j} \left(T_g^2 - T_j^2 \right) \quad \rightarrow$$

$$D_j = \frac{Nu \, k_{g0}}{2 \, \epsilon_j \sigma} \left(\frac{T_g^2}{T_j^4} - \frac{1}{T_j^2} \right) \quad \rightarrow \qquad (2)$$

$$rac{dD_j}{dt}\!=\!rac{N\!u\,k_{g0}}{\epsilon_j\,\sigma}\,\left(\!rac{1}{T_j^3}\!-2rac{T_g^2}{T_j^4}\!
ight)\,rac{dT_j}{dt}$$

$$ho_s f_v \; rac{Nu \, \mathcal{D}_T}{2 \, D_j} \; \left[1 - \left(rac{T_j}{T_g}
ight)^2
ight] = rac{
ho_d}{2} rac{Nu \, k_{g0}}{\epsilon_j \, \sigma} \left(\! rac{1}{T_j^3} \! - 2 rac{T_g^2}{T_j^4} \!
ight) rac{dT_j}{dt}$$

• USING (2) FOR $D_j \rightarrow \text{DIFFERENTIAL EQ. IN } T_j;$ SOLUTION:

 $G \;=\; eta \, f_v \, t + G_0 ~~ \{ egin{array}{c} G = 1/4 \cdot (T_g/T_j)^8 - 1/6 \cdot (T_g/T_j)^6 \ eta = 2 \, \mathcal{D}_T(\epsilon_j \, \sigma)^2 \, T_g^4 / \, (
ho_d \, k_{g0} \, Nu/
ho_s) \end{array}$

• T_j AND T_g NEED TO BE MEASURED



Figure 2: Thermocouple junction temperature vs. time, and extrapolated gas temperature.

15 SCED SOOT CONCENTRATION ESTIMATE via DEPOSITION

- TECHNIQUE UNDER DEVELOPMNT, AIMING AT MEASUREMENTS <u>INSIDE</u> A COMB. CHAMBER
- TUNGSTEN (W) WIRE USED FOR HIGHER T (BUT RISK BURNING)
- HPs:
 - 1. NO RADIATIVE HEAT TRANSFER <u>TO</u> JUNCTN 2. NO CHEMICAL HEAT RELEASE AT SURFACE
 - 3. T_w GRADIENT ~ CONST ALONG WIRE
 - 4. $T_w/T_g \sim \text{CONST}$ ALONG WIRE
 - 5. NO HEAT CONDUCTION ALONG WIRE
 - 6. NEGLIGB JUNCTN THERMAL INERTIA
- TUNGSTEN WIRE HEAT BALANCE:

$$c_W\,m_w\,rac{dT_w}{dt}=\,\dot{q}_{in}\,-\,\dot{q}_{out}$$

• WIRE MASS $m_w =
ho_W rac{\pi D_w^2}{4} l$

16 SCED (2)

• CONVECTIVE HEAT IN $\dot{q}_{in} = h_c \pi D_w l (T_g - T_w)$ • RADIATIVE/CONDUCTIVE HEAT OUT

$$\dot{q}_{out} \,=\, \epsilon\, \sigma\, T_w^4\, \pi\, D_w\, l\, +\, 2\, \lambda_W \, rac{\pi\, D_w^2}{4} \, rac{dT_w}{dx}$$

• <u>ROUGH</u> GUESS *T* GRADIENT AT BOTH ENDS

$$rac{dT_w}{dx}=rac{T_w\,-\,T_{amb}}{l/4}$$

$$c_W \rho_W \frac{\pi D_w^2}{4} l \frac{dT_w}{dt} = \\ = h_c \pi D_w l (T_g - T_w) - \epsilon \sigma T_w^4 \pi D_w l - 2\lambda_W \frac{\pi D_w^2}{4} \frac{T_w - T_{amb}}{l/4} \\ \text{BY DIVIDING THROUGH BY } \pi D_w l: \end{cases}$$

$$c_W \rho_W \frac{D_w}{4} \frac{dT_w}{dt} = \ \mathbf{h_c} (T_g - T_w) - \epsilon \sigma T_w^4 - 2 \, \lambda_W D_w \frac{T_w - T_{amb}}{l^2}$$

• ESTIMATE T_g (AVERAGED ALONG WIRE):

$$T_g = \frac{\epsilon \sigma T_w^4}{\mathsf{h}_c} + \left(\!\! \frac{2 \,\lambda_W \, D_w}{\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{c}} \, l^2}\!\!\right) T_w + \frac{c_W \, \rho_W \, D_w}{4 \, \mathsf{h}_c} \frac{dT_w}{dt} - \frac{2 \,\lambda_W \, D_w}{\mathsf{h}_{\mathsf{c}} \, l^2} T_{amb}$$

17 SCED (3)

• T_w MEASURED AFTER ELECTRIC RESISTANCE

 $R=
ho_\Omega\,l/(\pi\,D_w^2/4)$

 $ho_\Omega =
ho_\Omega^0 + lpha (T_w - T_0)$

 \rightarrow ESTIMATE T_w (<u>AVERAGED</u> ALONG WIRE)

 \implies ESTIMATE T_w/T_g (<u>AVERAGED</u> ALONG WIRE)

• MASS RATE OF DEPOSIT PER UNIT AREA

$$\dot{m}'' = rac{
ho_s \, V_s}{A \, \Delta t} = rac{
ho_d \, V_d}{A \, \Delta t}$$

• BY EQUATING TO (1):

$$f_v = rac{2\,D_w}{Nu\,\mathcal{D}_T}\,\left[1-\left(rac{T_w}{T_g}
ight)^2
ight]^{-1}\,rac{
ho_d/
ho_d\,V_d}{A\,\Delta t}$$

• V_d DETERMINED BY ELECTRON MICRO-SCOPE OR OTHER MEASURING DEVICE



Figure 3: Electric resistance and temperature as a function of time, methane/air flame, 150 mm height.



Figure 4: (top) Scanning Electron Microscope image, (bottom) EDS (Energy Dispersive X–ray Spectroscopy) analysis.

20 SCED vs. TPD



Figure 5: Comparison of SCED and TPD results.

21 PROS AND CONS OF THE DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES

LE, LASER EXTINCTION

PROS	CONS
NON-INTRUSIVE	CANNOT DETECT TRANSLUCENT SOOT
$\sim \text{ACCURATE}$	SOOT SIZE DISTRIBUTION NEEDED
POINTWISE	CANNOT MEASURE INSIDE CHAMBER

TPD, THERMOCOUPLE PARTICLE DENSITOMETRY

PROS	CONS
OPAQUE & TRANSLUCENT SOOT	INTRUSIVE
POINTWISE	UNSUITED > 2000 K
	UNSUITED FOR HIGH X_{oxidizer}
	LOTS OF MEASUREMENTS
	$\sim 50\%~{ m UNCERTAINTY}$
	(SURFACE CATALYSIS)

SCED, SOOT CONCENTRN ESTIMATE via DEPOSITN

PROS	CONS
OPAQUE & TRANSLUCENT SOOT	INTRUSIVE
POSSIBLY SUITED TO CHAMBERS	UNSUITED FOR HIGH $X_{oxidizer}$
MEASURES ALONG WHOLE TRANSVERSE	REQUIRES PERFORATIONS
	NOT EXACTLY POINTWISE

22 SOOT MODELS

- *n* NUCLEATION, *g* SURFACE GROWTH, *ox* OXIDATION, *a* AGGLOMERATION
- Y_s SOOT MASS FRACTION
- N_s NUMBER DENSITY (NO. PARTICLES PER UNIT MIXTURE MASS)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\overline{\rho} \, \tilde{u}_k \, \tilde{Y}_s \right) = 0.55 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\mu}{\overline{T}} \frac{\partial \overline{T}}{\partial x_k} \, \tilde{Y}_s \right) + \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{Y}_s}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\overline{\rho} \, \tilde{u}_k \, \tilde{N}_s \right) = 0.55 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\mu}{\overline{T}} \frac{\partial \overline{T}}{\partial x_k} \, \tilde{N}_s \right) + \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{N}_s}$$

• TYPICAL FORM OF SOURCE (PRODUCTION/ DESTRUCTION) TERM:

$$w_{Y_s}\ =\ r_n'\ +\ r_g\ -\ r_{ox}$$

$$w_{N_s}\,=\,r_n^{\prime\prime}\,-\,r_a$$

• QUITE CRUDE! (ONLY 2 EQS. TO DESCRIBE <u>ALL</u> CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROCESSES)